A3654


WISCONSIN 2026

Soybean Variety Performance Trials

Department of Plant and Agroecosystem Sciences, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Extension
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

COOL BEAN
University of Wisconsin-Madison | UW Extension
WWW.COOLBEAN.INFO



A3654

2026 Wisconsin Soybean Performance Trials

Shawn P. Conley, Adam C. Roth, Mark Kendall, and Damon L. Smith
Departments of Plant and Agroecosystem Sciences and Plant Pathology
University of Wisconsin, Madison

The Wisconsin Soybean Performance Trials are conducted each year with the producer's needs in mind. Our objective is to give producers the information to select varieties that will satisfy their specific goals and are most likely to perform best under their management practices.

How the entries were evaluated

Seed companies, private breeders and University research and Extension specialists voluntarily submitted any number of entries they wished. Most of these entries are commercially available, but experimental varieties were also tested. Several additional commercial and public cultivars were included for comparison.

Tests were conducted using conventional, reduced tillage or no-till practices. All performance trials were planted at 160,000 seeds/A in 15" rows. Tests were conducted using a randomized complete block design with four replicates. Table 1 also lists the herbicides used for weed control.

Growing conditions

Wisconsin experienced notable climate fluctuations and several record-breaking weather events that deviated significantly from long-term historical average. Wisconsin recorded its wettest April on record since data collection began in 1895, averaging 6.53 inches of rainfall statewide (more than double the monthly average). Temperatures were 1°F to 6°F warmer than normal ranking among the top 10 warmest Aprils for southern Wisconsin and the state suffered an unprecedented spike in spring severe weather outbreaks. In May conditions abruptly shifted to dry. Precipitation dropped to less than half of normal levels across most of the state. June and July brought hot and humid spells alongside highly variable rainfall. High heat and dew points pushed heat indices over 100°F in July. While precipitation in southwest and central Wisconsin reached 150% to 200% of normal, areas in east-central and northwestern Wisconsin saw below-average rain, leading to pockets of moderate drought and abnormal dryness. August and September finished warm and exceptionally wet for western and southern parts of the state. September 2026 finished almost 2°F to 3°F warmer than normal overall primarily driven by abnormally mild nighttime low temperatures rather than daytime highs. Storms brought heavy rainfall where regional stations in western Wisconsin logged over 7 inches of rainfall (more than 3 inches above average), ranking as one of the wettest Septembers on record locally.

How performance was measured

Yield: Plots were weighed, and moisture was determined in the field using electronic equipment on the plot harvester. Yields are reported in bushels (60 pounds/bushel) per acre at 13% moisture content.

Lodging: Lodging scores were based on the average erectness of the main stem of plants at maturity (1 = all plants erect, 2 = slight lodging, 3 = plants lodged at 45-degree angle, 4 = severe lodging, 5 = all plants flat).

Maturity: An entry was considered mature when at least 95% of the pods had turned their mature color or a killing frost occurred. Seven to ten days of drying weather are generally required before soybeans are ready to harvest. Variety performance is presented by brand, and then from earliest to latest maturity based on company supplied variety information.

Protein and oil

Grain composition was measured at all trial locations using a SCiO near-infrared (NIR) sensor integrated on the combine. Our goal in providing this information is to increase soybean value transparency so producers can consider the protein and oil content of varieties planted as well as the yield. The factor that influences protein the most and that is under control of a producer is variety selection. Data from the Wisconsin Soybean Variety Tests indicates that proper variety selection can result in 200 more pounds per acre of protein and oil without compromising grain yield.



Common diseases of Wisconsin Soybean

Phytophthora Root and Stem Rot (PRSR)

(caused by Phytopthora sojae)

There are many races of P. sojae. Resistance genes are incorporated into varieties (see Table 10) to provide complete or partial resistance to this organism as follows:

Gene	Races
Rps1-a	1, 2, 10, 11, 13-18, 24
Rps1-b	1, 3-9, 13-15, 17, 18, 21, 22
Rps1-c	1-3, 6-11, 13, 15, 17, 21, 23, 24
Rps1-k	1-11, 13-15, 17, 18, 22, 24
Rps3-a	1-5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 23, 25
Rps4	1-4, 10, 12, 16, 18-21, 25
Rps6	1-4, 10, 12, 14-16, 18-21, 25

Selection of soybean varieties with the appropriate resistance gene is paramount for its control. The population of the PRSR pathogen can be a single race or mixed races in the field. Due to heavy use of the Rps 1-k resistance gene, and recent evidence from field sampling, we believe that the population in the state has shifted. We are seeing that Rps 1-K resistance is being readily overcome. Unfortunately, most of the varieties currently grown in the state have this resistance. Focus should be placed on choosing varieties with Rps3-a or Rps6 resistance. Alternatively, multi-gene resistance could be used to manage Phytophthora root and stem rot in Wisconsin.

Other things you can do for PRSR are to open the rotation between soybean crops and improve drainage in fields that are typically saturated for long periods of time. Seed treatment fungicides can also be used. However, remember that the seed treatment is only going to be effective for the first 30 days or so after planting. After that we have to rely on varietal resistance to manage this problem. The information shown in Table 10 is based on information supplied by public breeders or companies that are releasing or marketing the variety. It is advised to consider Phytophthora resistance carefully as there was some incidence and severity of PRSR in Wisconsin in 2025.

White Mold

(caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum)

The white mold fungus infects through the flowers during early reproductive growth; symptoms are delayed until early pod formation, and plant death is evident as the crop progresses towards maturity. White mold was a minor issue in the typical areas where susceptible varieties were planted in Wisconsin in 2026. The reaction of soybean varieties to the white mold pathogen is expressed as plant mortality in the presence of high white mold pressure and reduced grain yield when incidence is above 10%. Varieties that express 25% or less plant incidence generally yield well in the presence of white mold. However, for every 10% increase in white mold incidence at the R7 growth stage, one can expect yield to be reduced 2-5 bu/A.

Soybean Cyst Nematode

(Heterodera glycines)

Soybean cyst nematode (SCN) remains as the number one yield-limiting pathogen in Wisconsin. A major concern is that growers are not aware of its presence on their farms. SCN can cause severe stunting and chlorosis of soybean plants, but these symptoms are not always common; SCN can also cause major yield loss without obvious symptoms. The most common "symptom" caused by SCN is a yield decline over the years even though best crop management practices are used. Significant advances have been made to improve varieties for resistance to SCN. High yield performance in the presence of SCN is an excellent strategy to help select varieties that are resistant or tolerant in SCN infested fields. Watch for white mold when SCN resistant varieties are planted for the first time in SCN infested fields. SCN can suppress dense crop canopies required for white mold to develop. Many SCN resistant varieties are also resistant to brown stem rot. Free SCN soil testing for growers is available through a grant from the Wisconsin Soybean Marketing Board. For testing kits please email: freescntest@mailplus.wisc.edu. For more information on SCN please visit: https://www.thescncoalition.com/partners/university-partners/university-wisconsin-madison

Brown Stem Rot

(caused by Cadophora gregata)

Brown stem rot (BSR) is an important disease of soybean to consider in Wisconsin. BSR can occasionally be found in fields in Wisconsin where susceptible varieties are planted and/or where there were short rotations between soybean crops. External symptoms of BSR are not observed until after pod development begins. There are examples where fields have both BSR and sudden death syndrome, which can make diagnoses difficult since foliar symptoms are similar. There are two pathotypes of the pathogen that cause BSR. The defoliating pathotype causes more severe internal stem discoloration and defoliation of leaves, compared with the non-defoliating pathotype that only causes internal stem symptoms. The non-defoliating pathotype may become more prevalent, so be sure to cut soybean stems to identify symptoms if you notice plants that are unthrifty, stunted, or yellowing prematurely. Select resistant varieties if BSR has been a problem in the field. Some SCN-resistant soybean varieties are also resistant to BSR.

Sudden Death Syndrome

(caused by Fusarium virguliforme)

Sudden death syndrome (SDS) incidence was significant across much of Wisconsin in 2026. Wet conditions following planting, coupled with drought conditions mid-season increased the severity of SDS. SDS is caused by a fungus. If SCN and SDS are both diagnosed in the same field, damage to the soybean crop can be significant. However, recent studies in Wisconsin suggest that the presence of SCN does not always mean SDS will also be found. The primary symptom of SDS is sudden leaf yellowing and browning during early pod development followed by leaf drop. Leaf symptoms of SDS and BSR can be similar, so be sure to cut soybean stems to rule out browning of the internal stem (pith) to confirm SDS. SDS resistance information is available on tech data sheets from seed companies. Several seed treatments are available on the market that have excellent efficacy against SDS. Contact your seed dealer for details and limitations of these products.

Soybean viruses and insects

Soybean aphids were localized again in 2026 with thresholds being seen in some late planted soybean fields; whereas spider mite infestations were sparce. Those growers that did not manage aphids or spider mites accrued significant yield loss. The bean leaf beetle was observed in low numbers in the southern counties. Soybean growers and agronomic advisors need to carefully monitor early season bean leaf beetle populations again in 2027. The virus situation in fields also needs to be assessed; virus-infected soybean plants commonly produce discolored seed. Late season bean leaf beetle infestation can cause extensive feeding injury to pods, thus combining with Bean pod mottle virus to reduce seed yield and quality. Evidence is increasing that soybean varieties differ in the ability to yield in the presence of insects and associated viruses. In 2026, symptoms of Tobacco streak virus (TSV) were occasionally observed in soybean fields. To an even lesser extent symptoms of Alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV) were also observed. Symptoms of soybean vein necrosis virus (SVNV) were more prevalent in Wisconsin in 2024 than in 2026 causing no yield reductions. In 2026, a new insect pest, the soybean tentiform leaf miner, was confirmed in Wisconsin. No reports of economic loss, but a new pest to add our radar for sure.

Seed quality concerns in 2026

Seed quality at harvest was a major concern in 2026. Late-season rains resulted in higher levels of purple seed stain and Diaporthe seed decay issues. These issues have led to reports of dockage at the point of sale. Management relies primarily on resistant varieties, crop rotation, and practices that reduce inoculum. When wet weather occurs near maturity, timely harvest remains one of the most effective strategies for preserving seed quality. While foliar fungicides applied between R3 and R5 may reduce infection in some situations, improvements in yield and seed quality are often inconsistent.

What the results mean


The performance of a variety may vary from year to year, even at the same location. Multiple tests over two or more years more accurately predict the variety performance. When selecting varieties, consider maturity, herbicide tolerance, disease resistance, and grain composition in addition to yield.

Small differences in yield may not be significant. The yield of any two entries may differ because of chance factors (such as differences in fertility, moisture availability and diseases) even though the two entries do not have inherently different yielding abilities. As an aid in determining true differences in yield, the Least Significant Difference (LSD) statistic is used. If the difference between varieties is greater than the tabulated LSD value, then the entries are said to be "significantly different." The probability of a mean difference being greater than the LSD by chance is 1 out of 10 for the 0.10 LSD value. Data that is not significant is indicated by NS.

A3654

WISCONSIN 2026 Soybean Variety Performance Trials

Figure 1. Average performance of E3 and XF soybean varieties in Wisconsin in 2026



A3654

WISCONSIN 2026 Soybean Variety Performance Trials

2026 Table List

Table 1. General Information on the 2026 Soybean Trials.....6

Table 2. 2026 Southern Region Glyphosate Tolerant Soybean Trial.....7

Table 3. 2026 Central Region Glyphosate Tolerant Soybean Trial.....9

Table 4. 2026 North Central Region Glyphosate Tolerant Soybean Trial.....11

Table 5. 2026 Northern Region Glyphosate Tolerant Soybean Trial.....12

Table 6. 2026 Southern Region Conventional Soybean Trial.....13

Table 7. 2026 North Central Region Conventional Soybean Trial.....15

Table 8. 2026 Seed Source for Soybean Entries 16

Table 9. 2026 Temperature and Precipitation Summary.....17

Table 10. 2026 Characteristics of Soybean Varieites.....18

Table 1. General Information on the 2026 Soybean Trials

					SOIL TEST RESULTS					PESTICIDE APPLICATION		DATES		AVERAGE YIELD (bu/A)		
Location	Trial	Cooperators	Row Spacing (in.)	Tillage	Soil Type	pH	OM (%)	P (ppm)	K (ppm)	Pre-emergent / Pre-plant	Post-emergent	Planting	Harvest	2026	2025	2025–2026
Arlington	Glyphosate Tolerant	Mike Bertram	15	no-till	Plano silt loam	7.0	2.9	22	151	glyphosate, Sharpen, StreliuS II	glyphosate	4-May	8-Oct	75	91	83
Arlington	Conventional	Mike Bertram	15	no-till	Plano silt loam	7.0	2.9	22	151	glyphosate, Sharpen, StreliuS II	Raptor, Select Max	4-May	8-Oct	66	88	77
Clinton	Glyphosate Tolerant	Gary Sommers, Matt Rehberg	15	no-till	Elburn silt loam	6.3	4.3	37	221	Aquesta, glyphosate, 2,4-D LV6	glufosinate, glyphosate	5-May	8-Oct	85	76	81
Fond du Lac	Glyphosate Tolerant	Ed Montsma	15	no-till	Mendota silt loam	7.1	3.8	38	134	Dimetric, Charger Max, Prefix, glyphosate	glyphosate	4-May	9-Oct	87	76	82
Galesville	Glyphosate Tolerant	Ken Congdon	15	no-till	Festina silt loam	6.6	3.9	47	168	glyphosate, imazethapyr, Sonic	glufosinate, glyphosate	30-Apr	7-Oct	84	76	80
Hancock	Glyphosate Tolerant	Bacon Farms	15	conventional	Richford loamy sand	6.2	0.8	106	117	Zidua SC	glyphosate	28-Apr	7-Oct	73	79	76
Marshfield	Glyphosate Tolerant (North Central)	Ashley Blackburn	15	no-till	Withee silt loam	6.7	4.2	61	142	Authority First, Zidua SC	glyphosate	8-May	6-Oct	75	76	76
Menomonie	Glyphosate Tolerant	Tony Mellenthin, Jerry Clark	15	no-till	Meridian silt loam	6.7	1.7	32	147	Authority First, glyphosate, Zidua SC	glyphosate	30-Apr	6-Oct	66	68	67
Menomonie	Conventional	Tony Mellenthin, Jerry Clark	15	no-till	Meridian silt loam	6.7	1.7	32	147	Authority First, glyphosate, Zidua SC	Pursuit	30-Apr	6-Oct	63	62	63
Platteville	Glyphosate Tolerant	Schweigert Family Farms	15	no-till	Tama silt loam	6.1	3.1	35	128	Authority First, glyphosate, Sharpen, Zidua SC	glufosinate, glyphosate	5-May	12-Oct	92	93	93
Seymour	Glyphosate Tolerant	Mike Maass	15	conventional	Solona loam	6.9	2.4	40	175	Authority First, Zidua SC	–	8-May	5-Oct	81	72	77
Spooner	Glyphosate Tolerant (Dryland)	Danyang Liu, Phil Holman	15	conventional	Antigo silt loam	6.0	2.5	30	119	Fierce EZ	Dual II Magnum, glyphosate, Select Max	27-May	14-Oct	32	49	41
Spooner	Glyphosate Tolerant (Irrigated)	Danyang Liu, Phil Holman	15	conventional	Cress sandy loam	6.0	2.4	39	159	Fierce EZ	glyphosate, Pursuit	22-May	14-Oct	57	50	54

Table 2. 2026 Southern Region Glyphosate Tolerant Soybean Trial (1 of 2)

					2026 MEANS							2025 MEANS			
					3-Test Mean		Arlington	Clinton	Platteville	Composition		3-Test Mean		Composition	
Brand	Entry	Herbicide Trait[1]	Maturity Group	Maturity Date[2]	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)
Asgrow	AG21XF2	XF	2.1	25-Sep	85	1.0	77	81	*97	34.7	20.2	88	1.7	34.3	19.4
Asgrow	AG22XF6	XF	2.2	18-Sep	77	1.0	65	79	86	34.2	19.5	82	1.5	33.6	18.8
Asgrow	AG24XF1	XF	2.4	22-Sep	67	1.0	56	74	70	34.7	19.5	81	1.7	33.9	19.1
Asgrow	AG24XF7	XF	2.4	30-Sep	85	1.0	76	78	*100	33.6	20.5	–	–	–	–
Asgrow	AG26XF4	XF	2.6	3-Oct	*88	1.0	*83	*93	88	34.3	20.2	*91	1.7	33.1	19.7
Asgrow	AG28XF7	XF	2.8	3-Oct	81	1.0	75	78	91	33.8	20.9	–	–	–	–
Burrus	2249E	E3	2.2	25-Sep	85	1.0	70	*89	*95	33.0	21.2	–	–	–	–
Burrus	2511E	E3	2.5	30-Sep	*88	1.0	*84	88	91	34.1	20.1	–	–	–	–
Burrus	2588E	E3	2.5	30-Sep	*94	1.0	*87	*95	*100	34.3	19.8	*96	1.7	32.7	19.9
Confluence Genetics	26P190E	E3	2.6	26-Sep	72	1.0	60	77	79	37.8	19.2	–	–	–	–
Dyna-Gro	S20EN46	E3	2.0	21-Sep	85	1.0	73	88	93	33.0	20.7	82	1.8	32.5	20.0
Dyna-Gro	S22XF95	XF	2.2	27-Sep	79	1.0	71	80	87	34.6	19.9	–	–	–	–
Dyna-Gro	S25EN74	E3	2.5	28-Sep	82	1.0	75	84	88	33.7	20.9	*91	1.8	32.6	20.6
Dyna-Gro	S25ES57	E3	2.5	28-Sep	*91	1.0	*83	*90	*99	34.0	20.2	–	–	–	–
FS HiSOY	HS 15E60	E3	1.5	14-Sep	81	1.0	72	82	89	33.7	20.5	–	–	–	–
FS HiSOY	HS 18E30	E3	1.8	23-Sep	81	1.0	72	83	88	32.6	20.3	86	1.6	32.2	19.9
FS HiSOY	HS 18F40	XF	1.8	25-Sep	86	1.0	74	88	*97	33.8	20.5	*90	1.7	33.3	20.0
FS HiSOY	HS 20E40	E3	2.0	21-Sep	84	1.0	74	82	*95	34.1	20.5	84	1.7	32.9	20.0
FS HiSOY	HS 22F60	XF	2.2	27-Sep	86	1.0	77	86	*94	34.6	20.0	–	–	–	–
FS HiSOY	HS 23E40	E3	2.3	18-Sep	79	1.0	63	78	*96	34.3	20.0	83	1.6	33.8	19.4
FS HiSOY	HS 24E60	E3	2.4	30-Sep	*90	1.0	79	*93	*99	34.0	20.1	–	–	–	–
FS HiSOY	HS 24F40	XF	2.4	25-Sep	83	1.0	73	82	*94	34.7	19.8	87	1.8	33.8	19.4
FS HiSOY	HS 25E30	E3	2.5	30-Sep	81	1.0	77	78	88	34.1	20.7	*91	1.7	32.5	20.6
FS HiSOY	HS 26E50	E3	2.6	1-Oct	84	1.0	79	85	89	34.0	19.9	88	1.7	32.2	19.9
FS HiSOY	HS 26F60	XF	2.6	5-Oct	79	1.0	*80	76	79	33.5	21.2	–	–	–	–
FS HiSOY	HS 28E50	E3	2.8	2-Oct	87	1.0	73	*91	*97	33.3	20.6	*92	1.7	31.5	20.3

Table 2. 2026 Southern Region Glyphosate Tolerant Soybean Trial (2 of 2)

					2026 MEANS							2025 MEANS			
					3-Test Mean		Arlington	Clinton	Platteville	Composition		3-Test Mean		Composition	
Brand	Entry	Herbicide Trait[1]	Maturity Group	Maturity Date[2]	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)
Genesis	G1910E	E3	1.9	22-Sep	84	1.0	77	77	*97	34.4	19.7	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G1980E	E3	1.9	18-Sep	72	1.0	68	70	77	32.6	20.1	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G2210E	E3	2.2	27-Sep	85	1.0	70	86	*98	33.1	21.2	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G2300E	E3	2.3	27-Sep	*88	1.0	*80	88	*97	33.4	20.8	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G2410E	E3	2.4	27-Sep	85	1.0	78	83	*94	33.7	20.2	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G2710E	E3	2.7	5-Oct	84	1.0	71	83	*97	33.9	20.4	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G2900E	E3	2.9	5-Oct	*91	1.0	*84	*92	*96	33.6	20.1	*90	1.7	31.9	20.1
Golden Harvest	GH2406XF	XF	2.4	1-Oct	*91	1.0	*84	*90	*98	35.7	18.8	–	–	–	–
Golden Harvest	GH2674E3	E3	2.6	30-Sep	85	1.0	77	85	92	33.0	20.2	89	1.7	31.9	20.0
Golden Harvest	GH2777E3S	E3	2.7	3-Oct	*88	1.0	76	*91	*97	35.2	20.1	–	–	–	–
NK	NK20-J9E3S	E3	2.0	18-Sep	87	1.0	73	*95	*94	33.8	20.3	–	–	–	–
NK	NK21-C2E3	E3	2.1	28-Sep	85	1.0	74	84	*97	33.8	20.0	88	1.7	33.9	19.1
NK	NK23-P1E3	E3	2.3	25-Sep	84	1.0	77	87	89	32.9	20.2	85	1.8	32.4	19.7
NK	NK26-M6E3	E3	2.6	1-Oct	87	1.0	*80	83	*98	33.0	20.2	*92	1.7	31.9	20.0
NK	NK27-K4E3S	E3	2.7	30-Sep	81	1.0	71	85	87	35.6	19.9	–	–	–	–
O'Brien	O'SOY2026EL-3	E3	2.0	21-Sep	82	1.0	70	84	92	34.5	19.8	81	2.2	33.5	20.2
O'Brien	O'SOY2527EL-3	E3	2.5	25-Sep	87	1.0	72	*91	*99	33.3	20.4	–	–	–	–
Renk	RS255NXF	XF	2.5	28-Sep	*91	1.0	77	*95	*102	35.4	19.7	*90	1.8	34.2	19.0
Renk	RS266E	E3	2.6	1-Oct	*89	1.0	*82	*97	87	34.1	20.1	*93	1.8	32.6	19.8
Stine	24EH32	E3	2.4	28-Sep	*91	1.0	*87	86	*100	33.1	20.7	*93	1.8	31.8	20.8
Stine	25EJ92	E3	2.5	5-Oct	85	1.0	*81	86	88	34.3	19.8	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 2127E	E3	2.1	27-Sep	85	1.0	*80	*89	87	34.7	19.6	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 2366E	E3	2.3	30-Sep	84	1.0	*83	87	82	33.5	20.5	89	1.8	31.8	20.7
Xitavo	XO 2556E	E3	2.5	5-Oct	84	1.0	74	87	92	34.0	19.9	87	1.6	32.6	19.8
Xitavo	XO 2926E	E3	2.9	5-Oct	84	1.0	76	83	93	33.8	20.3	*90	1.7	31.8	20.1
Mean				**27-Sep**	84	**1.0**	**75**	**85**	**92**	**34.0**	**20.2**	87	**1.8**	**32.9**	**19.9**
LSD (0.10)				–	6	–	**7**	**8**	**8**	**0.5**	**0.3**	6	**0.3**	**0.5**	**0.3**

* Yields preceded by an asterisk are not significantly different (0.10 level) than the highest yielding cultivar.

1 Herbicide Trait: XF = dicamba/glufosinate/glyphosate, E3 = glufosinate/glyphosate/2,4-D

2 Maturity date was determined at the Arlington site.

Results that are shaded provide the best estimate of relative variety performance.

Table 3. 2026 Central Region Glyphosate Tolerant Soybean Trial (1 of 2)

				2026 MEANS							2025 MEANS			
				3-Test Mean		Fond du Lac	Galesville	Hancock	Composition		3-Test Mean		Composition	
Brand	Entry	Herbicide Trait[1]	Maturity Group	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)
Apex	AE1870	E3	1.8	**75**	1.1	78	77	71	34.5	20.4	–	–	–	–
Apex	AE2050	E3	2.0	**78**	1.1	81	80	73	32.8	21.4	***80**	1.0	33.6	20.0
Asgrow	AG16XF5	XF	1.6	***82**	1.3	***91**	85	71	34.1	20.4	***78**	1.2	34.9	18.9
Asgrow	AG19XF3	XF	1.9	**80**	1.1	***88**	***88**	65	34.2	21.3	–	–	–	–
Asgrow	AG21XF2	XF	2.1	***85**	1.0	***92**	***89**	72	34.8	20.7	**77**	1.1	34.9	19.4
Asgrow	AG24XF7	XF	2.4	***82**	1.1	***88**	83	***75**	33.6	21.7	–	–	–	–
Dyna-Gro	S14EN27	E3	1.4	**76**	1.6	83	78	67	33.5	20.9	–	–	–	–
Dyna-Gro	S20EN46	E3	2.0	***82**	1.1	***89**	***88**	69	32.9	21.5	***80**	1.1	33.9	19.9
Dyna-Gro	S22XF95	XF	2.2	**81**	1.1	83	84	***75**	34.2	20.9	–	–	–	–
FS HiSOY	HS 15E60	E3	1.5	**76**	1.6	86	72	70	33.5	21.1	–	–	–	–
FS HiSOY	HS 18E30	E3	1.8	**80**	1.1	***88**	80	72	32.0	21.3	**75**	1.1	33.4	19.7
FS HiSOY	HS 18F40	XF	1.8	***85**	1.0	***91**	***89**	73	33.8	21.2	***83**	1.0	33.4	20.3
FS HiSOY	HS 20E40	E3	2.0	***86**	1.0	***91**	***91**	***77**	33.5	21.3	***80**	1.3	34.0	19.8
FS HiSOY	HS 22F60	XF	2.2	***84**	1.2	***88**	***87**	***78**	34.2	21.0	–	–	–	–
FS HiSOY	HS 23E40	E3	2.3	**78**	1.0	86	74	74	34.1	20.7	**77**	1.0	33.9	19.6
FS HiSOY	HS 25E30	E3	2.5	**79**	1.1	84	82	69	33.4	21.9	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G1800E	E3	1.8	***85**	1.3	***92**	***88**	***76**	32.3	22.1	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G1910E	E3	1.9	***83**	1.2	***88**	***91**	70	34.2	20.6	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G1980E	E3	1.9	**79**	1.2	85	79	74	32.1	21.2	**68**	1.0	32.6	20.0

Table 3. 2026 Central Region Glyphosate Tolerant Soybean Trial (2 of 2)

				2026 MEANS							2025 MEANS			
				3-Test Mean		Fond du Lac	Galesville	Hancock	Composition		3-Test Mean		Composition	
Brand	Entry	Herbicide Trait[1]	Maturity Group	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)
Genesis	G2210E	E3	2.2	*84	1.0	*88	*91	72	32.8	22.2	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G2300E	E3	2.3	*86	1.2	*93	*93	73	32.6	21.8	*79	1.2	32.8	20.7
Genesis	G2410E	E3	2.4	80	1.0	*90	84	66	33.8	21.0	–	–	–	–
Golden Harvest	GH1886XF	XF	1.8	*85	1.0	*93	*87	*76	33.6	21.4	*83	1.0	34.6	19.7
Golden Harvest	GH2027E3S	E3	2.0	*82	1.1	85	*90	72	33.4	21.4	–	–	–	–
Golden Harvest	GH2315E3	E3	2.3	*84	1.0	85	*88	*80	32.5	20.9	*84	1.0	32.7	20.1
NK	NK16-B4E3S	E3	1.6	79	1.0	86	80	71	33.5	21.2	–	–	–	–
NK	NK17-H1E3	E3	1.7	79	1.3	86	79	71	34.8	20.6	73	1.1	35.4	19.3
NK	NK20-J9E3S	E3	2.0	*84	1.1	85	*94	73	33.5	21.3	–	–	–	–
NK	NK21-C2E3	E3	2.1	79	1.1	*88	82	68	33.6	20.8	*81	1.3	34.2	19.3
O'Brien	O'SOY1526EL-3	E3	1.5	74	1.0	79	77	65	35.2	20.7	74	1.0	35.9	19.2
O'Brien	O'SOY2026EL-3	E3	2.0	77	1.2	81	83	68	34.4	20.4	73	1.1	34.2	20.0
Renk	RS194NXF	XF	1.9	*87	1.1	*92	*87	*81	33.4	21.3	*83	1.1	33.5	20.2
Stine	17EJ22	E3	1.7	81	1.5	*89	78	*76	31.7	22.1	77	1.3	32.0	20.8
Stine	19EC12	E3	1.9	*82	1.4	86	78	*82	32.7	21.5	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 1207E	E3	1.2	77	1.1	81	82	67	34.3	21.1	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 1776E	E3	1.7	*87	1.2	*92	*86	*82	32.3	22.1	*80	1.0	32.9	20.7
Xitavo	XO 2127E	E3	2.1	81	1.1	85	83	*76	34.4	20.3	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 2366E	E3	2.3	*82	1.0	87	*86	73	33.7	21.3	*80	1.0	32.6	20.8
Mean				81	**1.1**	**87**	**84**	**73**	**33.5**	**21.2**	77	**1.2**	**33.8**	**19.8**
LSD (0.10)				5	**NS**	**5**	**8**	**7**	**0.5**	**0.3**	6	**0.4**	**0.5**	**0.3**

* Yields preceded by an asterisk are not significantly different (0.10 level) than the highest yielding cultivar.

1 Herbicide Trait: XF = dicamba/glufosinate/glyphosate, E3 = glufosinate/glyphosate/2,4-D

Maturity date was not recorded for the Central region.

Results that are shaded provide the best estimate of relative variety performance.

Table 4. 2026 North-Central Region Glyphosate Tolerant Soybean Trial

					2026 MEANS							2025 MEANS			
					3-Test Mean		Marshfield	Menomonie	Seymour	Composition		3-Test Mean		Composition	
Brand	Entry	Herbicide Trait[1]	Maturity Group	Maturity Date[2]	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)
Apex	AE1220	E3	1.2	1-Oct	*81	1.0	*91	*67	85	36.4	19.9	73	1.2	35.2	19.2
Asgrow	AG12XF7	XF	1.2	1-Oct	76	1.0	85	65	77	34.7	20.2	–	–	–	–
Asgrow	AG14XF4	XF	1.4	26-Sep	80	1.0	88	*67	85	35.3	19.7	75	1.0	33.3	19.4
Asgrow	AG15XF7	XF	1.5	1-Oct	*81	1.0	81	*67	*93	33.4	20.8	–	–	–	–
BioGene	BG9126E3	E3	1.2	26-Sep	75	1.0	84	62	77	35.9	19.0	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G1260E	E3	1.2	1-Oct	*81	1.0	90	*71	82	36.5	19.9	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G1800E	E3	1.8	1-Oct	*83	1.0	87	*73	*89	33.5	21.4	–	–	–	–
Golden Harvest	GH1446XF	XF	1.4	1-Oct	*86	1.0	*96	*73	*91	35.1	20.7	–	–	–	–
Golden Harvest	GH1495E3	E3	1.4	1-Oct	77	1.0	90	61	79	35.8	19.1	*77	1.2	34.4	19.0
Golden Harvest	GH1617E3S	E3	1.6	1-Oct	75	1.0	77	65	83	34.6	20.5	–	–	–	–
NK	NK09-V2E3	E3	0.9	25-Sep	67	1.0	67	58	76	35.8	19.7	–	–	–	–
NK	NK11-A4E3	E3	1.1	26-Sep	77	1.0	88	62	80	34.4	20.3	74	1.0	32.3	20.5
NK	NK15-G9E3S	E3	1.5	1-Oct	79	1.0	84	*70	83	34.4	20.5	73	1.0	33.4	20.0
NK	NK16-B4E3S	E3	1.6	1-Oct	76	1.0	82	*67	80	34.3	20.5	–	–	–	–
NK	NK17-H1E3	E3	1.7	1-Oct	75	1.0	79	*69	77	35.6	20.2	*76	1.3	34.7	19.5
O'Brien	O'SOY1526EL-3	E3	1.5	26-Sep	76	1.0	82	63	81	35.7	20.2	71	1.0	34.6	19.6
Renk	RS167E	E3	1.6	1-Oct	77	1.0	84	65	82	34.2	21.1	–	–	–	–
Stine	12EE63	E3	1.2	1-Oct	*83	1.0	*95	*70	85	36.6	19.7	–	–	–	–
Stine	17EJ22	E3	1.7	26-Sep	79	1.0	83	*68	85	32.6	21.4	*79	1.3	31.1	21.1
Xitavo	XO 0806E	E3	0.8	25-Sep	62	1.0	61	55	70	35.0	19.9	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 0917E	E3	0.9	25-Sep	70	1.0	76	60	72	36.7	18.6	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 1147E	E3	1.1	1-Oct	73	1.0	80	61	77	36.3	19.4	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 1207E	E3	1.2	1-Oct	75	1.0	77	*67	80	35.3	20.3	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 1776E	E3	1.7	1-Oct	78	1.0	82	*71	81	33.4	21.6	*78	1.3	31.8	21.1
Mean				**29-Sep**	77	**1.0**	**83**	**66**	**81**	**35.1**	**20.2**	73	**1.3**	**33.7**	**19.7**
LSD (0.10)				**–**	5	**–**	**5**	**6**	**5**	**1.0**	**0.5**	6	**NS**	**0.6**	**0.3**

* Yields preceded by an asterisk are not significantly different (0.10 level) than the highest yielding cultivar.

1 Herbicide Trait: XF = dicamba/glufosinate/glyphosate, E3 = glufosinate/glyphosate/2,4-D

2 Maturity date was determined at the Marshfield site.

Results that are shaded provide the best estimate of relative variety performance.

Table 5. 2026 Northern Region Glyphosate Tolerant Soybean Trial

					2026 MEANS							2025 MEANS			
					3-Test Mean		Marshfield	Spooner – dryland	Spooner – irrigated	Composition		3-Test Mean		Composition	
Brand	Entry	Herbicide Trait[1]	Maturity Group	Maturity Date	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Yield (bu/A)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)
Apex	AE1050	E3	1.0	26-Sep	*58	1.0	79	*36	*58	34.2	20.4	57	1.0	33.3	18.9
Asgrow	AG10XF4	XF	1.0	24-Sep	*58	1.0	*81	*36	56	33.2	20.5	*60	1.0	32.7	18.9
Asgrow	AG12XF4	XF	1.2	29-Sep	*55	1.0	79	31	55	33.3	20.7	–	–	–	–
Asgrow	AG12XF7	XF	1.2	29-Sep	*58	1.0	*81	32	*62	33.4	20.3	–	–	–	–
Asgrow	AG14XF4	XF	1.4	29-Sep	*60	1.0	*88	*33	*60	33.6	19.9	*65	1.0	32.3	18.9
BioGene	BG9150E3	E3	1.5	29-Sep	53	1.0	66	32	*61	33.0	20.6	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G0800E	E3	0.8	22-Sep	53	1.0	68	32	*59	33.5	20.3	56	1.2	32.8	18.9
Genesis	G1110E	E3	1.1	27-Sep	*56	1.0	74	*37	*57	33.3	21.0	–	–	–	–
Genesis	G1260E	E3	1.2	29-Sep	*61	1.0	*86	*37	*59	35.1	19.9	*64	1.0	34.2	18.8
Golden Harvest	GH0734E3	E3	0.7	23-Sep	48	1.0	62	30	54	31.8	20.9	–	–	–	–
Golden Harvest	GH0936E3	E3	0.9	24-Sep	*57	1.0	77	*33	*59	33.8	20.5	–	–	–	–
Golden Harvest	GH1194E3	E3	1.1	28-Sep	*56	1.0	79	*33	*57	33.0	20.7	*63	1.0	31.4	19.9
NK	NK08-Z4E3	E3	0.8	21-Sep	53	1.0	79	29	52	34.4	19.4	59	1.0	35.0	17.2
NK	NK09-V2E3	E3	0.9	24-Sep	53	1.0	69	32	*57	33.9	20.4	*63	1.1	33.3	18.7
Stine	08EJ32	E3	0.8	24-Sep	53	1.0	78	30	52	34.3	19.4	–	–	–	–
Stine	10EH02	E3	1.0	26-Sep	*56	1.0	74	*34	*61	33.9	20.5	59	1.0	33.2	19.0
Xitavo	XO 0806E	E3	0.8	25-Sep	51	1.0	56	31	*61	33.7	20.1	56	1.3	32.7	19.0
Xitavo	XO 0917E	E3	0.9	27-Sep	51	1.0	73	30	49	34.2	19.4	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 1147E	E3	1.1	27-Sep	*56	1.0	*84	29	56	33.8	20.5	–	–	–	–
Xitavo	XO 1207E	E3	1.2	28-Sep	54	1.0	71	32	*59	33.2	21.0	–	–	–	–
Mean				**26-Sep**	55	**1.0**	**75**	**32**	**57**	**33.6**	**20.3**	58	**1.2**	**33.1**	**18.9**
LSD (0.10)				**–**	6	**–**	**8**	**4**	**5**	**0.7**	**0.4**	5	**NS**	**0.6**	**0.4**

* Yields preceded by an asterisk are not significantly different (0.10 level) than the highest yielding cultivar.

1 Herbicide Trait: XF = dicamba/glufosinate/glyphosate, E3 = glufosinate/glyphosate/2,4-D

Results that are shaded provide the best estimate of relative variety performance.

Table 6. 2026 Southern Region Conventional Soybean Trial (1 of 2)

					2026 MEANS				2025 MEANS			
					Arlington				Arlington			
Brand	Entry	Herbicide Trait[1]	Maturity Group	Maturity Date	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)
Confluence Genetics	23F228	CN	2.3	25-Sep	**67**	1.0	36.2	20.0	–	–	–	–
Confluence Genetics	26P266	CN	2.6	1-Oct	**66**	1.0	40.0	18.0	–	–	–	–
Confluence Genetics	28F542	CN	2.8	3-Oct	**59**	1.0	34.3	20.8	–	–	–	–
Confluence Genetics	28P493	CN	2.8	5-Oct	**63**	1.0	40.0	18.4	–	–	–	–
Confluence Genetics	29F003	CN	2.9	5-Oct	**61**	1.0	36.6	20.7	–	–	–	–
DF Seeds	DF 176 F	CN	1.7	25-Sep	**56**	1.0	38.8	17.9	**86**	2.8	38.1	17.8
DF Seeds	DF 186 N	CN	1.8	22-Sep	***77**	1.0	34.8	20.1	–	–	–	–
DF Seeds	DF 193 NF	CN	1.9	26-Sep	**60**	1.0	38.8	18.8	**76**	3.0	38.6	17.5
DF Seeds	DF 205 NF	CN	2.0	22-Sep	**69**	1.0	36.5	19.0	**86**	3.0	37.0	18.2
DF Seeds	DF 214 N	CN	2.1	25-Sep	**70**	1.0	35.2	20.7	**99**	1.2	35.2	19.1
DF Seeds	DF 237 NF	CN	2.3	28-Sep	**70**	1.0	37.2	18.6	–	–	–	–
DF Seeds	DF 255 NF	CN	2.5	30-Sep	**48**	1.0	37.6	19.2	**79**	3.8	36.7	18.2
DF Seeds	DF 262 NF	CN	2.6	30-Sep	**68**	1.0	38.4	18.5	**75**	3.2	39.0	17.0
DF Seeds	DF 266 N[2]	CN	2.6	27-Sep	**51**	1.0	33.5	21.0	–	–	–	–
DF Seeds	DF 282 N	CN	2.8	5-Oct	***75**	1.0	33.7	20.5	–	–	–	–

Table 6. 2026 Southern Region Conventional Soybean Trial (2 of 2)

					2026 MEANS				2025 MEANS			
					Arlington				Arlington			
Brand	Entry	Herbicide Trait[1]	Maturity Group	Maturity Date	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)
Kennell Seed Farms	KS1927	CN	1.9	30-Sep	68	1.0	34.2	21.2	–	–	–	–
Kennell Seed Farms	KS2027	CN	2.0	24-Sep	57	1.0	33.6	21.3	–	–	–	–
Kennell Seed Farms	GG23G15	CN	2.3	18-Sep	61	1.0	34.6	20.8	–	–	–	–
Kennell Seed Farms	KS2627	CN	2.6	1-Oct	65	1.0	32.6	21.0	–	–	–	–
Kennell Seed Farms	LVF2632	CN	2.6	28-Sep	67	1.0	34.1	20.7	–	–	–	–
SB&B	SB11	CN	1.2	11-Sep	60	1.0	36.4	20.4	83	1.0	35.0	20.6
SB&B	SB1270	CN	1.2	14-Sep	56	1.0	35.8	20.2	89	2.7	35.1	19.9
SB&B	SB712	CN	1.2	11-Sep	63	1.0	37.6	19.0	77	1.5	36.3	19.4
Viking/Blue River	19B5	CN	1.9	22-Sep	*75	1.0	33.5	20.6	99	2.8	33.5	19.8
Viking/Blue River	2022N	CN	2.0	22-Sep	*77	1.0	33.8	21.1	*110	1.6	34.1	19.8
Viking/Blue River	23B5	CN	2.3	27-Sep	*75	1.0	34.3	20.4	–	–	–	–
Viking/Blue River	2418N	CN	2.4	26-Sep	70	1.0	34.0	20.1	98	1.5	35.3	18.7
Viking/Blue River	27B4	CN	2.7	1-Oct	62	1.0	33.5	20.5	*108	2.3	33.7	19.4
check	12607	E3	2.5	1-Oct	*82	1.0	33.9	20.8	–	–	–	–
check	12573	E3	2.8	30-Sep	72	1.0	32.9	21.2	–	–	–	–
Mean				**26-Sep**	66	**1.0**	**35.5**	**20.1**	88	**2.7**	**35.7**	**18.9**
LSD (0.10)				**–**	8	**–**	**0.6**	**0.4**	5	**0.7**	**0.4**	**0.2**

***** Yields preceded by an asterisk are not significantly different (0.10 level) than the highest yielding cultivar.

1 Herbicide Trait: CN = conventional, E3 = glufosinate/glyphosate/2,4-D

2 Early defoliation resulting from sudden death syndrome (SDS) was observed.

Results that are shaded provide the best estimate of relative variety performance.

Table 7. 2026 North Central Region Conventional Soybean Trial

					2026 MEANS				2025 MEANS			
					Menomonie				Menomonie			
Brand	Entry	Herbicide Trait[1]	Maturity Group	Maturity Date	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Yield (bu/A)	Lodging (1-5)	Protein (%)	Oil (%)
DF Seeds	DF 176 F	CN	1.7	25-Sep	52	1.0	37.0	18.5	54	1.0	37.0	16.6
DF Seeds	DF 186 N	CN	1.8	23-Sep	*69	1.0	33.3	21.0	–	–	–	–
DF Seeds	DF 193 NF	CN	1.9	27-Sep	*64	1.0	37.4	19.3	–	–	–	–
DF Seeds	DF 205 NF	CN	2.0	25-Sep	*66	1.0	35.2	19.6	–	–	–	–
DF Seeds	DF 214 N	CN	2.1	23-Sep	*69	1.0	33.7	20.6	–	–	–	–
SB&B	SB11	CN	1.2	10-Sep	60	1.0	35.6	19.9	58	1.0	35.1	19.3
SB&B	SB1270	CN	1.2	22-Sep	59	1.0	34.4	20.3	64	1.0	33.1	19.8
SB&B	SB712	CN	1.2	10-Sep	58	1.0	36.1	19.1	51	1.0	36.8	17.5
Viking/Blue River	0821N	CN	0.8	13-Sep	62	1.0	33.9	19.8	60	1.0	33.3	18.9
Viking/Blue River	1223N	CN	1.2	17-Sep	*66	1.0	32.0	21.2	–	–	–	–
Viking/Blue River	15B5	CN	1.5	20-Sep	63	1.0	31.5	21.5	*72	1.0	31.7	19.9
Viking/Blue River	17B6	CN	1.7	23-Sep	*68	1.0	33.2	21.1	*67	1.0	34.2	18.5
check	12485	XF	1.4	15-Sep	61	1.0	33.8	19.8	–	–	–	–
check	12486	XF	1.6	29-Sep	*65	1.0	33.2	20.3	65	1.0	32.8	19.1
check	12656	E3	1.7	20-Sep	63	1.0	31.6	21.3	–	–	–	–
Mean				**20-Sep**	63	**1.0**	**34.1**	**20.2**	62	**1.0**	**34.0**	**18.7**
LSD (0.10)				–	5	–	**0.8**	**0.6**	6	–	**1.0**	**0.6**

***** Yields preceded by an asterisk are not significantly different (0.10 level) than the highest yielding cultivar.

1 Herbicide Trait: CN = conventional, XF = dicamba/glufosinate/glyphosate, E3 = glufosinate/glyphosate/2,4-D

Results that are shaded provide the best estimate of relative variety performance.

Table 8. 2026 Seed Source for Soybean Entries

Brand	Company	Phone Number	Website
Apex	Brunner Seed Inc.	(715) 672-5887	www.brunnerseed.com
Asgrow	Bayer Crop Science	(715) 495-7246	www.agseedselect.com
BioGene	Van Treeck's Seed Farm	(920) 467-2422	www.biogeneseeds.com
Burrus	Burrus Bros & Associated Growers	(217) 997-5511	burrusseed.com
Confluence Genetics	Confluence Genetics	(314) 594-7624	confluence.ag
DF Seeds	DF Seeds LLC	(517) 623-6161	dfseeds.com
Dyna-Gro	Nutrien Ag Solutions	(217) 343-3630	nutrienagsolutions.com
FS HiSOY	GROWMARK, Inc.	(815) 866-1447	www.fsseed.com
Genesis	MS Technologies	(608) 513-0293	www.renkseed.com
Golden Harvest	Golden Harvest	(402) 429-9063	www.goldenharvestseeds.com
Kennell Seed Farms	Kennell Seed Farms	(608) 379-0585	–
NK	Syngenta	(715) 307-8452	nkseeds.com
O'Brien	O'Brien Hybrids	(608) 576-3653	www.obrienhybrids.com
Renk	Renk Seed	(608) 513-0293	www.renkseed.com
SB&B	SB&B Foods Inc.	(715) 928-1623	sb-b.com
Stine	Stine Seed Company	(608) 387-3954	www.stineseed.com
Viking/Blue River	Albert Lea Seed	(800) 352-5247	www.alseed.com
Xitavo	MS Technologies	(309) 338-9360	xitavosoybeanseed.com

Table 9. 2026 Temperature and Precipitation Summary

Trial Location		AVERAGE MEAN TEMPERATURE (°F)						TOTAL PRECIPITATION (inches)				
		May	June	July	August	September		May	June	July	August	September
Arlington		**57.4**	**66.8**	**73.5**	**68.8**	**64.3**		**2.2**	**5.3**	**1.8**	**5.6**	**4.3**
	Departure	−0.1	−0.7	+2.5	−0.2	+2.9	*Departure*	−2.1	+0.1	−2.4	+1.8	+0.9
Clinton		**58.7**	**68.3**	**74.6**	**70.3**	**66.1**		**0.6**	**8.3**	**0.8**	**6.0**	**5.1**
	Departure	+0.6	+0.2	+3.2	+0.9	+3.6	*Departure*	−3.7	+2.8	−3.0	+1.8	+1.3
Fond du Lac		**56.5**	**66.8**	**74.5**	**70.1**	**64.4**		**1.0**	**2.5**	**4.1**	**3.1**	**6.0**
	Departure	+0.6	+0.8	+4.3	+1.7	+3.5	*Departure*	−2.4	−1.9	+0.5	−0.4	+2.9
Galesville (Trempealeau)		**59.2**	**69.3**	**76.7**	**71.8**	**66.0**		**1.7**	**8.4**	**6.6**	**4.4**	**9.3**
	Departure	+0.4	+0.9	+4.3	+1.6	+3.5	*Departure*	−2.8	+4.1	+1.9	+0.3	+5.5
Hancock* (Wautoma)		**56.0**	**66.7**	**73.8**	**68.1**	**61.7**		**1.3**	**2.1**	**2.9**	**1.7**	**6.1**
	Departure	−0.6	+0.4	+3.7	−0.2	+1.3	*Departure*	−2.9	−2.8	−1.3	−2.3	+2.8
							Irrigation	*NA*	*NA*	*NA*	*NA*	*NA*
Marshfield		**55.1**	**65.5**	**73.1**	**68.5**	**62.8**		**1.7**	**6.4**	**1.1**	**3.8**	**5.3**
	Departure	−0.6	0.0	+3.4	+1.0	+3.5	*Departure*	−2.4	+1.7	−2.8	−0.3	+1.4
Menomonie		**55.2**	**66.3**	**74.8**	**68.6**	**63.5**		**1.2**	**4.5**	**3.4**	**1.5**	**4.8**
	Departure	−1.1	0.0	+4.2	+0.3	+3.3	*Departure*	−3.3	−0.7	−0.7	−2.5	+1.2
Platteville (Lancaster)		**59.0**	**67.9**	**74.5**	**69.8**	**65.8**		**2.8**	**6.2**	**7.0**	**4.3**	**5.8**
	Departure	+0.9	+0.1	+3.1	+0.3	+3.9	*Departure*	−1.6	+0.3	+1.9	+0.5	+1.7
Seymour (Green Bay)		**55.4**	**66.8**	**72.3**	**68.8**	**63.1**		**2.2**	**6.1**	**4.1**	**1.6**	**5.7**
	Departure	−1.1	+0.4	+1.8	+0.2	+2.1	*Departure*	−1.1	+2.0	+0.5	−1.8	+2.5
Spooner*		**55.7**	**66.1**	**73.3**	**67.7**	**62.6**		**1.5**	**4.0**	**4.7**	**0.8**	**1.7**
	Departure	+0.5	+1.2	+4.3	+0.6	+3.8	*Departure*	−2.6	−0.2	+0.7	−3.1	−2.0
							Irrigation	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.9**	**2.1**	**0.8**

*Irrigation was applied at Hancock and Spooner (irrigated sand trial); irrigation records were unavailable for Hancock.
Source: Midwestern Regional Climate Center; Long term normals from 1991 to 2020 used for departure data.

Table 10. 2026 Characteristics of Soybean Varieties (1 of 4)

Brand	Entry	Maturity Group	Herbicide Trait[1]	Performance Shown in Table(s)	Seed Treatment(s)	SCN Source[2]	PRR Genes[3]	COLOR[4]			
								Flower	Pubescence	Pod	Hilum
Apex	AE1050	1.0	E3	5	Brute 4000S, Heads Up	PI 88788	HRps 1-c	P	G	T	IB
Apex	AE1220	1.2	E3	4	Brute 4000S, Heads Up	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	T	IB
Apex	AE1870	1.8	E3	3	Brute 4000S, Heads Up	PI 88788	Rps 3-a	P	G	T	BF
Apex	AE2050	2.0	E3	3	Brute 4000S, Heads Up	Peking	Rps 3-a	P	G	T	IB
Asgrow	AG10XF4	1.0	XF	5	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
Asgrow	AG12XF4	1.2	XF	5	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
Asgrow	AG12XF7	1.2	XF	4,5	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	BR	IB
Asgrow	AG14XF4	1.4	XF	4,5	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	BF
Asgrow	AG15XF7	1.5	XF	4	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
Asgrow	AG16XF5	1.6	XF	3	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	T	BR	BR
Asgrow	AG19XF3	1.9	XF	3	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
Asgrow	AG21XF2	2.1	XF	2,3	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 3-a	P	LTW	T	BR
Asgrow	AG22XF6	2.2	XF	2	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-c, 3-a	P	LTW	T	BL
Asgrow	AG24XF1	2.4	XF	2	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	T	G
Asgrow	AG24XF7	2.4	XF	2,3	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	LTW	BR	BR
Asgrow	AG26XF4	2.6	XF	2	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
Asgrow	AG28XF7	2.8	XF	2	Acceleron F/I, ILEVO	PI 88788	–	P	G	T	IB
BioGene	BG9126E3	1.2	E3	4	Arma	PI 88788	HRps 3-a, Rps 1-c	P	G	T	IB
BioGene	BG9150E3	1.5	E3	5	Arma	PI 88788	Rps 3-a	P	G	T	BF
Burrus	2249E	2.2	E3	2	Burrus PowerShield SDS	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	LTW	T	–
Burrus	2511E	2.5	E3	2	Burrus PowerShield SDS	PI 88788	Rps 3-a	P	G	T	–
Burrus	2588E	2.5	E3	2	Burrus PowerShield SDS	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	LTW	BR	BR
Confluence Genetics	23F228	2.3	CN	6	Cruisermaxx APX, Saltro	PI 88788	–	P	LTW	BR	Y
Confluence Genetics	26P190E	2.6	E3	2	Cruisermaxx APX, Saltro	–	–	P	G	BR	BR
Confluence Genetics	26P266	2.6	CN	6	Cruisermaxx APX, Saltro	PI 88788	–	W	TW	T	BR
Confluence Genetics	28F542	2.8	CN	6	Cruisermaxx APX, Saltro	PI 88788	–	P	G	BR	IY
Confluence Genetics	28P493	2.8	CN	6	Cruisermaxx APX, Saltro	PI 88788	–	P	LTW	BR	BL
Confluence Genetics	29F003	2.9	CN	6	Cruisermaxx APX, Saltro	PI 88788	–	P	TW	BR	G
DF Seeds	DF 176 F	1.7	CN	6,7	DFender SDS	–	–	W	G	BR	Y
DF Seeds	DF 186 N	1.8	CN	6,7	DFender SDS	Peking	Rps 3-a	P	LTW	T	BL
DF Seeds	DF 193 NF	1.9	CN	6,7	DFender SDS	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	T	Y
DF Seeds	DF 205 NF	2.0	CN	6,7	DFender SDS	PI 88788	–	M	G	T	Y
DF Seeds	DF 214 N	2.1	CN	6,7	DFender SDS	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	W	G	BR	Y

Table 10. 2026 Characteristics of Soybean Varieties (2 of 4)

Brand	Entry	Maturity Group	Herbicide Trait[1]	Performance Shown in Table(s)	Seed Treatment(s)	SCN Source[2]	PRR Genes[3]	COLOR[4]			
								Flower	Pubescence	Pod	Hilum
DF Seeds	DF 237 NF	2.3	CN	6	DFender SDS	PI 88788	Rps 3-a	P	BR	BR	Y
DF Seeds	DF 255 NF	2.5	CN	6	DFender SDS	–	–	W	BR	BR	Y
DF Seeds	DF 262 NF	2.6	CN	6	DFender SDS	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	T	Y
DF Seeds	DF 266 N	2.6	CN	6	DFender SDS	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	T	BR
DF Seeds	DF 282 N	2.8	CN	6	DFender SDS	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	LTW	BR	BL
Dyna-Gro	S14EN27	1.4	E3	3	Equity VAYO, Saltro	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	LTW	BR	BL
Dyna-Gro	S20EN46	2.0	E3	2,3	Equity VAYO, Saltro	Peking	Rps 3-a	P	G	T	IB
Dyna-Gro	S22XF95	2.2	XF	2,3	Equity VAYO, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	W	LTW	BR	BL
Dyna-Gro	S25EN74	2.5	E3	2	Equity VAYO, Saltro	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	LTW	T	BL
Dyna-Gro	S25ES57	2.5	E3	2	Equity VAYO, Saltro	PI 88788	HRps 3-a	P	G	T	IB
FS HiSOY	HS 15E60	1.5	E3	2,3	Acceleron, Saltro	Peking	Rps 1-k, 3-a	P	LTW	BR	BL
FS HiSOY	HS 18E30	1.8	E3	2,3	Acceleron, Saltro	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	G	T	BF
FS HiSOY	HS 18F40	1.8	XF	2,3	Acceleron, Saltro	PI 88788	–	P	LTW	T	BL
FS HiSOY	HS 20E40	2.0	E3	2,3	Acceleron, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 3-a	P	LTW	BR	BR
FS HiSOY	HS 22F60	2.2	XF	2,3	Acceleron, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	W	LTW	BR	BL
FS HiSOY	HS 23E40	2.3	E3	2,3	Acceleron, Saltro	Peking	–	P	G	T	IB
FS HiSOY	HS 24E60	2.4	E3	2	Acceleron, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	LTW	BR	BR
FS HiSOY	HS 24F40	2.4	XF	2	Acceleron, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-c, 3-a	P	G	BR	IB
FS HiSOY	HS 25E30	2.5	E3	2,3	Acceleron, Saltro	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	LTW	T	BL
FS HiSOY	HS 26E50	2.6	E3	2	Acceleron, Saltro	Peking	–	P	LTW	–	–
FS HiSOY	HS 26F60	2.6	XF	2	Acceleron, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-k, 3-a	P	G	T	IB
FS HiSOY	HS 28E50	2.8	E3	2	Acceleron, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	W	LTW	–	–
Genesis	G0800E	0.8	E3	5	EclipseUS Trio	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
Genesis	G1110E	1.1	E3	5	EclipseUS Trio	Peking	Rps 1-c	P	G	T	IB
Genesis	G1260E	1.2	E3	4,5	EclipseUS Trio	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	T	IB
Genesis	G1800E	1.8	E3	3,4	EclipseUS Trio	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	T	IB
Genesis	G1910E	1.9	E3	2,3	EclipseUS Trio	PI 88788	Rps 3-a	P	G	T	BF
Genesis	G1980E	1.9	E3	2,3	EclipseUS Trio	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	G	T	BF
Genesis	G2210E	2.2	E3	2,3	EclipseUS Trio, Saltro	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	LTW	T	BL
Genesis	G2300E	2.3	E3	2,3	EclipseUS Trio, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	W	LTW	BR	BL
Genesis	G2410E	2.4	E3	2,3	EclipseUS Trio, Saltro	PI 88788	HRps 3-a	P	G	T	IB
Genesis	G2710E	2.7	E3	2	EclipseUS Trio, Saltro	PI 88788	–	W	LTW	BR	BR
Genesis	G2900E	2.9	E3	2	EclipseUS Trio, Saltro	PI 88788	–	P	LTW	BR	BR

Table 10. 2026 Characteristics of Soybean Varieties (3 of 4)

Brand	Entry	Maturity Group	Herbicide Trait[1]	Performance Shown in Table(s)	Seed Treatment(s)	SCN Source[2]	PRR Genes[3]	COLOR[4]			
								Flower	Pubescence	Pod	Hilum
Golden Harvest	GH0734E3	0.7	E3	5	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	Peking	Rps 1-k, 3-a	P	G	T	BF
Golden Harvest	GH0936E3	0.9	E3	5	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-k, 3-a	P	G	T	BF
Golden Harvest	GH1194E3	1.1	E3	5	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-k, 3-a	W	G	T	BF
Golden Harvest	GH1446XF	1.4	XF	4	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-k, 3-a	P	G	T	BF
Golden Harvest	GH1495E3	1.4	E3	4	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	Peking	Rps 1-c, 3-a	P	G	T	BF
Golden Harvest	GH1617E3S	1.6	E3	4	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	BR	BF
Golden Harvest	GH1886XF	1.8	XF	3	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-c, 3-a	P	LTW	BR	BL
Golden Harvest	GH2027E3S	2.0	E3	3	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	Peking	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
Golden Harvest	GH2315E3	2.3	E3	3	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	Peking	Rps 1-c, 3-a	P	G	T	BF
Golden Harvest	GH2406XF	2.4	XF	2	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	W	LTW	BR	BL
Golden Harvest	GH2674E3	2.6	E3	2	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	W	G	T	BF
Golden Harvest	GH2777E3S	2.7	E3	2	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	G	BR	IB
Kennell Seed Farms	KS1927	1.9	CN	6	none	–	–	–	–	–	–
Kennell Seed Farms	KS2027	2.0	CN	6	none	–	–	–	–	–	–
Kennell Seed Farms	GG23G15	2.3	CN	6	none	PI 88788	–	P	TW	–	Y
Kennell Seed Farms	KS2627	2.6	CN	6	none	–	–	–	–	–	–
Kennell Seed Farms	LVF2632	2.6	CN	6	none	–	–	P	LTW	BR	BL
NK	NK08-Z4E3	0.8	E3	5	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	T	IB
NK	NK09-V2E3	0.9	E3	4,5	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-k, 3-a	P	G	T	BF
NK	NK11-A4E3	1.1	E3	4	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-k, 3-a	W	G	T	BF
NK	NK15-G9E3S	1.5	E3	4	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	G	BR	IB
NK	NK16-B4E3S	1.6	E3	3,4	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	BR	BF
NK	NK17-H1E3	1.7	E3	3,4	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	T	BF
NK	NK20-J9E3S	2.0	E3	2,3	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	Peking	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
NK	NK21-C2E3	2.1	E3	2,3	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
NK	NK23-P1E3	2.3	E3	2	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	Peking	Rps 1-c, 3-a	P	G	T	BF
NK	NK26-M6E3	2.6	E3	2	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	W	G	T	BF
NK	NK27-K4E3S	2.7	E3	2	Cruisermaxx APX, Victrato	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	G	BR	IB
O'Brien	O'SOY1526EL-3	1.5	E3	3,4	EclipseUS Trio	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
O'Brien	O'SOY2026EL-3	2.0	E3	2,3	EclipseUS Trio	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
O'Brien	O'SOY2527EL-3	2.5	E3	2	EclipseUS Trio	Peking	–	P	LTW	BR	BL
Renk	RS167E	1.6	E3	4	EclipseUS Trio	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	BR	BR	BR
Renk	RS194NXF	1.9	XF	3	EclipseUS Trio	PI 88788	–	P	LTW	T	BL

Table 10. 2026 Characteristics of Soybean Varieties (4 of 4)

Brand	Entry	Maturity Group	Herbicide Trait[1]	Performance Shown in Table(s)	Seed Treatment(s)	SCN Source[2]	PRR Genes[3]	COLOR[4]			
								Flower	Pubescence	Pod	Hilum
Renk	RS255NXF	2.5	XF	2	EclipseUS Trio, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	LTW	BR	BL
Renk	RS266E	2.6	E3	2	EclipseUS Trio, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	BR	BR
SB&B	SB11	1.2	CN	6,7	CruiserMaxx APX	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	T	Y
SB&B	SB1270	1.2	CN	6,7	CruiserMaxx APX	–	–	P	G	T	Y
SB&B	SB712	1.2	CN	6,7	CruiserMaxx APX	PI 88788	Rps 1-c, 3-a	P	G	T	Y
Stine	08EJ32	0.8	E3	5	SoyStar Elite, Saltro	–	Rps 1-c	W	G	–	BF
Stine	10EH02	1.0	E3	5	SoyStar Elite, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	–	IB
Stine	12EE63	1.2	E3	4	SoyStar Elite, Saltro	–	Rps 1-c	P	G	–	IB
Stine	17EJ22	1.7	E3	3,4	SoyStar Elite, Saltro	Peking	Rps 1-k	P	G	–	BF
Stine	19EC12	1.9	E3	3	SoyStar Elite, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	–	IB
Stine	24EH32	2.4	E3	2	SoyStar Elite, Saltro	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	W	LTW	–	BL
Stine	25EJ92	2.5	E3	2	SoyStar Elite, Saltro	Peking	–	P	TW	–	BL
Viking/Blue River	0821N	0.8	CN	7	none	PI 88788	–	P	LTW	BR	BR
Viking/Blue River	1223N	1.2	CN	7	none	PI 88788	–	P	LTW	T	BL
Viking/Blue River	15B5	1.5	CN	7	none	Peking	Rps 1-a	P	LTW	BR	BL
Viking/Blue River	17B6	1.7	CN	7	none	Peking	Rps 3-a	P	LTW	T	BL
Viking/Blue River	19B5	1.9	CN	6	none	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	W	LTW	T	BL
Viking/Blue River	2022N	2.0	CN	6	none	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	LTW	T	BL
Viking/Blue River	23B5	2.3	CN	6	none	Peking	Rps 1-c	P	LTW	BR	BL
Viking/Blue River	2418N	2.4	CN	6	none	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	LTW	BR	BL
Viking/Blue River	27B4	2.7	CN	6	none	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	W	LTW	T	BL
Xitavo	XO 0806E	0.8	E3	4,5	Obvious Plus, Poncho Votivo Precise, Relenya, Ilevo	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	P	G	BR	IB
Xitavo	XO 0917E	0.9	E3	4,5	Obvious Plus, Poncho Votivo Precise, Relenya, Ilevo	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	W	G	T	BF
Xitavo	XO 1147E	1.1	E3	4,5	Obvious Plus, Poncho Votivo Precise, Relenya, Ilevo	PI 88788	Rps 1-c	W	G	T	BF
Xitavo	XO 1207E	1.2	E3	3,4,5	Obvious Plus, Poncho Votivo Precise, Relenya, Ilevo	Peking	Rps 1-c	P	G	T	IB
Xitavo	XO 1776E	1.7	E3	3,4	Obvious Plus, Poncho Votivo Precise, Relenya, Ilevo	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	P	G	T	IB
Xitavo	XO 2127E	2.1	E3	2,3	Obvious Plus, Poncho Votivo Precise, Relenya, Ilevo	PI 88788	Rps 3-a	P	G	T	IB
Xitavo	XO 2366E	2.3	E3	2,3	Obvious Plus, Poncho Votivo Precise, Relenya, Ilevo	PI 88788	Rps 1-k	W	LTW	BR	BL
Xitavo	XO 2556E	2.5	E3	2	Obvious Plus, Poncho Votivo Precise, Relenya, Ilevo	Peking	–	P	LTW	BR	BL
Xitavo	XO 2926E	2.9	E3	2	Obvious Plus, Poncho Votivo Precise, Relenya, Ilevo	PI 88788	–	P	LTW	BR	BL

1 Herbicide Trait: CN = conventional, XF = dicamba/glufosinate/glyphosate, E3 = glufosinate/glyphosate/2,4-D

2 Source of SCN Resistance.

3 PRR= Phytophthora Root Rot Resistance: PRR Genes listed designate resistance to PRR Races.

4 BL= Black, BF = Buff, BR= Brown, CL= Clear, G= Gray, IB= Imperfect Black, IY= Imperfect Yellow, LTW= Light Tawny, M= Mixed, P= Purple, T= Tan, TW= Tawny, W=White, Y= Yellow.



A3654

WISCONSIN 2026

Soybean Variety Performance Trials

Department of Plant and Agroecosystem Sciences, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison

© 2026 by the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System doing business as the Division of Extension of the University of Wisconsin-Madison. All rights reserved.

Authors: Shawn P. Conley is professor of Plant and Agroecosystem Sciences, Adam C. Roth is research agronomist in Plant and Agroecosystem Sciences, Mark Kendall is research agronomist in Plant and Agroecosystem Sciences, and Damon L. Smith is professor of Plant Pathology, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison. Shawn P. Conley and Damon L. Smith also hold appointments with University of Wisconsin, Division of Extension.
Division of Extension publications are subject to peer review.

University of Wisconsin-Extension, Division of Extension, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and Wisconsin counties, publishes this information to further the purpose of the May 8 and June 30, 1914, Acts of Congress. An EEO/AA employer, the University of Wisconsin-Madison Division of Extension provides equal opportunities in employment and programming, including Title VI, Title IX, and ADA requirements. If you have a disability and require this information in an alternative format, or if you would like to submit a copyright request, please contact Publishing Manager at 432 N. Lake St., Rm. 227, Madison, WI 53706; pubs@extension.wisc.edu; or (608) 263-2770 (711 for Relay).

Wisconsin Soybean Performance Trials 2026 (A3654)

This report is available in Microsoft Excel and Acrobat PDF formats at the Wisconsin Soybean Extension website:
https://badgercropnetwork.com/research/soybeans/variety-trial-results/
11/2026

Extension
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–MADISON

COOL BEAN
University of Wisconsin-Madison | UW Extension
WWW.COOLBEAN.INFO