

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN AGRONOMY, SOYBEAN RESEARCH, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-EXTENSION

## **Evaluating Yield Response of Biological Seed Treatments in Soybean 2023**

Shawn P. Conley, State Soybean and Wheat Extension Specialist John Gaska, Senior Research Agronomist Spyros Mourtzinis, Research Assoc. University of Wisconsin, Madison

### Background

There are many biological seed treatments (e.g., fungi, amino acids, bacteria) marketed to growers under the premise that this practice will produce a positive ROI due to plant health promoting properties. Most of these biological products are applied as a seed treatment prior to planting, but others are applied infurrow or broadcast or sprayed over the crop. Growers often purchase these products with the intention of maximizing seed yield to gain a competitive advantage. We evaluated 9 commonly marketed biological seed treatment products at 10 sites in Wisconsin in 2023. In addition, we were part of a national effort to evaluate biological seed treatments. Nine additional states participated in evaluation of these seed treatment products.

#### Methods

Small plots were established at 10 sites, corresponding to our soybean variety trial program sites, in WI in 2023 (Table 1). Plots were planted with a research plot planter and harvested with a research plot combine equipped with weighing and moisture sensors. All plots were seeded at 140,000 seeds/acre. Standard management practices for weed control and tillage (where used), were employed. Seed of appropriate zone maturity was selected for each region (Table 1). All seed was pre-treated by the seed company with a common fungicide and insecticide including fluxapyroxad, imidacloprid, metalaxyl, and pyraclostrobin. All biological seed treatments were applied to the seed according to the product label using a small batch seed treater on top of the fungicide and insecticide seed treatment. Grain yield and plant population at growth stage V2 were recorded. Seed treatment information is presented in Table 2.

Region	Location	Tillage	Soil texture	pН	OM (%)	P (ppm)	K (ppm)	Planted	Harvested	Asgrow variety	Seed RM	Average yield (bu/a)	Std. Err.
	Arlington	no-till	Silt Loam	7.2	2 3.3	41	116	11-Ma	y 20-Oct	AG22XF2	2.2	79.1	0.9
South	Clinton	no-till	Silt Loam	6.2	25	147	428	5-May	/ 18-Oct	AG22XF2	2.2	71.6	1.0
	Platteville	no-till	Silt Loam	6.	5 4.4	84	414	5-May	/ 4-Oct	AG22XF2	2.2	90.1	1.0
	Fond du Lac	no-till	Silt Loam	6.0	3.8	55	187	12-Ma	y 12-Oct	AG20XF1	2.0	73.3	0.9
Central	Galesville	conventional	Silt Loam	6.4	4 3.9	117	206	4-May	/ 10-Oct	AG20XF1	2.0	71.0	0.8
	Wautoma (Irr	) conventional	Sand	5.9	9 2.1	181	267	3-May	y 11-Oct	AG20XF1	2.0	83.1	0.9
	Menomonie	no-till	Sandy Loam	6.4	4 2.3	53	135	4-Ma	/ 10-Oct	AG11XF2	1.1	74.6	0.9
North Central	Marshfield	no-till	Silt Loam	7.0	0 4.3	39	317	18-Ma	y 19-Oct	AG11XF2	1.1	59.8	0.4
	Seymour	conventional	Silt Loam	7.:	1 3.2	80	197	16-Ma	y 9-Oct	AG11XF2	1.1	66.7	1.0
North	Spooner (Irr)	conventional	Sandy Loam	6.	5 2.3	41	164	8-May	/ 11-Oct	AG11XF2	1.1	67.1	0.9

Table 1. Site information for biological seed treatment trials in 2023.

# www.coolbean.info

Treatment	Company	Product name	Main ingredients
1	Sunrise	BioBuild Soy Bio ST + R	Azospirillum brasilense, Bacillus licheniformis, B. amyloliquefaciens, B. subtillis, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Rhizobium
2	Indigo	Biotrinsic X19	Kosakonia cowanii strain SYM00028
3	ABM	Graph-EX	Bradyrhizobium spp.
4	Indigo	Biotrinsic M34 + N13 + E13(extender)	Bacillus subtillis + Bradyrhizobium japonicum
5	Valent	Aveo EZ	Bacillus amyloliquefaciens Strain PTA-4838
6	AMVAC	BioWake	Methylobacterium hispanicum
7	Lallemand	Proyield + Larise Start SC	Bradyrhizobium elkanii, Delftia acidovorans + Bacillus velezensis
8	Lallemand + Agrilead	Rise & Shine	Bacillus velezensis
9	Valent	Symvado	Glomus intraradices, G. mosseae, G. aggregatum, G. etunicatum
10	Control	Control	Nontreated control

Table 2. Seed treatment information for biological seed treatment trials in 2023.

### Results

Plant population at the V2 stage and seed yield data were analyzed within and across sites. Across all 10 locations, there were no significant differences in yield and population between the treatments (P-value=0.0784). Additional analysis shown in Table 4 list probabilities of a yield difference from the control that is greater than zero.

### **Yields**

Table 3 shows yields for all treatments at all locations along with a standard error. Standard error allows us to estimate how representative the results are compared to the whole population. A high standard error shows that sample means are widely spread around the population mean. A low standard error shows that sample means are closely distributed around the population mean.

	ARL		CLN		PLT		FDL		GAL		WAU		MEN		MAR		SEY		SPO	
Treatment	mean	stderr																		
BioBuild Soy Bio ST + R	76.1	3.4	76.3	2.6	88.2	3.7	77.1	2.4	73.9	3.3	85.7	3.1	75.0	3.2	59.0	1.1	65.5	3.9	67.5	1.2
Biotrinsic X19	77.0	2.4	72.3	2.9	87.1	3.2	72.9	1.8	71.6	2.6	80.3	3.5	72.6	3.3	59.9	0.7	67.3	2.7	68.5	1.5
Graph-EX	77.9	3.2	75.3	2.4	94.8	1.5	77.4	3.5	73.0	2.3	85.3	2.9	78.7	3.7	60.2	0.8	68.9	2.7	67.4	1.7
Biotrinsic M34 + N13 + E13(extender)	83.8	2.4	71.5	2.2	89.1	4.1	70.9	3.3	68.0	2.5	82.1	3.8	76.7	2.1	60.8	0.9	70.4	3.3	67.1	1.5
Aveo EZ	80.7	3.4	76.2	1.0	89.2	3.9	73.5	2.1	69.8	2.5	83.8	2.2	77.2	3.5	58.2	0.8	61.5	3.5	67.0	2.7
BioWake	79.0	2.9	72.7	2.6	90.3	3.9	77.3	2.9	73.3	2.4	79.5	3.3	72.0	2.8	60.1	2.3	68.2	4.4	66.6	1.8
Proyield + Larise Start SC	79.0	2.3	74.4	1.6	87.5	2.6	64.1	2.2	68.0	3.1	85.2	3.6	69.9	1.8	60.3	0.5	66.5	3.2	67.6	1.7
Rise & Shine	81.9	3.5	74.5	2.1	91.5	3.8	71.7	3.0	72.0	2.2	81.8	2.4	76.5	2.3	59.8	0.8	64.1	2.5	67.1	1.0
Symvado	80.7	3.7	63.6	2.4	89.5	2.3	73.1	2.2	70.5	3.2	84.2	1.5	73.4	2.2	60.0	1.6	67.1	3.3	65.4	1.7
Nontreated control	75.1	1.8	59.1	1.4	94.3	3.3	74.8	2.4	70.7	1.7	83.5	2.8	73.7	2.7	59.6	1.3	68.2	3.4	66.6	1.7

Table 3. Combined yield data for all locations.

Bayesian analysis creates a distribution of the estimate instead of just point estimates. In Table 4 the yield difference of each treatment from the control across all locations is shown as the probability of a yield difference (treatment minus control > 0).

Table 4. Yield difference (treatment minus control) and probability for 10 biological seed treatments across nine locations in 2023. Bolded results had high probability and largest positive difference from the control.

	Yield difference	
	from control	Probability
Treatment	(bu/a)	diff > 0 (%)
BioBuild Soy Bio ST + R	1.9	95
Biotrinsic X19	0.4	63
Graph-EX	3.4	100
Biotrinsic M34 + N13 + E13(extender)	1.5	92
Aveo EZ	1.2	86
BioWake	1.3	89
Proyield + Larise Start SC	-0.3	40
Rise & Shine	1.6	92
Symvado	0.2	57

This is a preliminary report meant to relay preliminary findings. More data will be released once the trial is complete. This data is not for publication.

www.coolbean.info



Funding for this project was provided by the Wisconsin Soybean Marketing Board